APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF SCOPING AND COMMENTS
2. Notice of Scoping for Burton Family Football Complex and Intramural, Recreational and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility

Municipality where proposed project might be located: University of Connecticut - Storrs Campus, Mansfield, CT

Address of Possible Project Location: Stadium Road

Project Description: The University of Connecticut proposes to construct a multi-purpose practice facility at the site of existing tennis courts on the Storrs Campus, east of Stadium Road. The Burton Family Football Complex will be an approximately 85,000 square foot (SF) building housing offices and facilities for the UCONN Football Program, including public spaces, coaching staff offices and seminar rooms, team meeting room, strength and athletic training rooms, and locker rooms and showers. The approximately 80,000 SF Intramural, Recreational and Intercollegiate Facilities Complex will consist primarily of an indoor artificial turf field. The project will include the demolition of twelve (12) existing tennis courts and their relocation east-southeast of the existing soccer fields and ice arena. Removal of the existing fixed seating on the southwest side of Memorial Stadium will also be included in the project.

Project Map: Click here to view a map of the project area.

Written comments from the public are welcomed and will be accepted until the close of business on: February 5, 2004

There will be a Public Scoping Meeting for this project at:

DATE: January 27, 2004

TIME: 6:30 PM

PLACE: Room 7, Bishop Center, Storrs Campus

NOTES: Parking is available at lots near the Bishop Center

Written comments and/or requests for a Public Scoping Meeting should be sent to:

Name: Richard A. Miller, Esq.
Agency: University of Connecticut
Director of Environmental Policy
Address: Gulley Hall
352 Mansfield Road
Storrs, CT 06269-3038
Fax: 860-486-6379


1/8/2004
E-Mail: Rich.Miller@uconn.edu

If you have questions about the public meeting, or other questions about the scoping for this project, contact:

Name: Richard A. Miller, Esq.
Agency: University of Connecticut
         Director of Environmental Policy
Address: Gulley Hall
        352 Mansfield Road
        Storrs, CT 06269-3038
Phone:  860-486-8741
Fax:     860-486-6379
E-Mail: Rich.Miller@uconn.edu

The agency expects to release an Environmental Impact Evaluation for this project, for public review and comment, in June, 2004.

MINUTES
PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING
FOR BURTON FAMILY FOOTBALL COMPLEX
UCONN
STORRS, CONNECTICUT

DATE: January 27, 2004

PLACE/TIME: UCONN, Bishop Center, Room 7, Storrs Campus
7:00 p.m.

ATTENDEES: UCONN – Rich Miller, George Kraus, Jeff Hathaway, Neal Eskin
Jeter Cook and Jepson – Alan Minkus
The BSC Group – Thomas Tavella, Warren Baethge
Fuss & O’Neill – Philip Moreschi
~35 people attended; some signed attendance list (attached)

A presentation was made by Rich Miller, Jeff Hathaway and Phil Moreschi as outlined in
the attached slide presentation. At the conclusion of the presentation the meeting was
opened to questions and comments from the attendees. The following is a summary of these
questions and comments. Most of the attendees who spoke identified themselves in some
fashion; however, the spelling of their names when provided, and not on the attendance list,
is phonetic and may not be correct.

Dick Patterson (Class of 1951)
- Long term plan for memorial stadium?
- Jeff responded: will stay green field.

Emory Braswell
- Lighted at night? Dark sky laws must be adhered to.

Ruth McLaughlin (Separatist Road)
- Whole area was wetlands at one time. Is there going to be a road? There are
  wetlands to east of stadium road – south of hockey rink parking lot – 10 acres
  accessed from Separatist Road.
- Thought UCONN 21st Century was for academic buildings only.
  Jeff: Money has been slated for athletics by legislature.
- Had to fight hard to get the oil and water separators constructed for wetlands on
  Stadium Road; will they need to fight for same controls on this project?
Harry Johnson
- Did you consider flipping Alternative 2?
- Football facility and intramural facility flipped? Thinks it might work better!
- Does not want to see parking in area of current trailers.

A.J. Pappanikou
- Retired faculty; Positive support.
- Does not want light pollution, well pollution, stormwater.

Warren
- Positive support; great Alumi spirit builder.

Prima Braswell (corner of Stadium and Separatist)
- UCONN has not been a good neighbor.
- Drainage has impacted her property and she is very concerned about impact of new parking/paving on stormwater runoff.

Jim Alexous (Class of 75)
- Positive support; good for UCONN.
- Trailers removed; will gain parking back.

Helen Curran
- UCONN exempt from Aquifer Protection Regs.
- Upset that Phil said area has no wetlands. This area was once wetlands but was filled by UCONN many years ago. Need to build up (vertically) and not develop land areas. Would like to see wetlands restored in areas where they once were.
- Feels education is more important than athletics.
- Feels comments made by others in support saying UCONN brought into limelight by athletics insults the professors.
- Jeff responded by reiterating that education is the primary mission of UCONN.

Jim Phelps (Alumi)
- Positive; in favor.
- Good for providing activities for students.
- Will it be big enough?

Former UCONN Area Resident - Male (lived on corner for 30 years)
- Promise made by UCONN and then never followed through.
- Neighbors had to fight to get oil and water separators which supposedly were promised by UCONN.
MINUTES – PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING
JANUARY 27, 2004
PAGE 3

Female
- Fitness for Life, Hawley Armory, Senior Citizen Weight Training Class, has been curtailed. Will new athletic complex be used for such programs?
- Jeff: Dept. of Kinesiology had sponsored that program; can't comment on that.

Dr. Bill Sherman (Graduate – West Hartford)
- Very excited about this project and believes that Division of Athletics will address the concerns that have been raised; nothing is perfect.

Erwin Keens (Class of 1971)
- Congratulates UCONN on plan.
- Enhances student life on campus.

Michael Galchus (Class of 1966)
- Athletics has done a great job in bringing acclaim to UCONN.

Paul Young
- Best alternative was selected; in support.

Ruth Moyrnan (1973 Graduate)
- Perception is that UCONN spends much more on athletics then on educational prowess. She wants to see similar support on balance of UCONN's mission.

Respectfully submitted:

[Signature]

Philip W. Moreschi, P.E., Vice President
Fuss & O'Neill, Inc.

/ndt

Attachments: Attendance List
Slide Presentation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>TELEPHONE/EMAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. J. Papapanou</td>
<td>95 Birthwood Hts, Storrs</td>
<td>860-929-8435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Barry</td>
<td>29 Huntz Ledge Rd, Storrs</td>
<td>860-429-4431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodi Kaplan</td>
<td>Alumni Dr, Unit 3053, Storrs</td>
<td>860-486-2240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth B. Moynihan</td>
<td>37 Farrell Rd., Storrs</td>
<td>429-2733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Galchus</td>
<td>118 Lehigh Gate Rd, Glastonbury</td>
<td>860-693-5390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul A. Young</td>
<td>169 Elm St, Rocky Hill, CT</td>
<td>860-563-1378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Marzilli</td>
<td>41 Chestnut Hill Rd,</td>
<td>860-456-7071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Oleksiw</td>
<td>Glastonbury, CT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Bill Sherman</td>
<td>14 Old Brook Rd, W. Hartford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Phelps</td>
<td>12 Ridgeview St, Middletown</td>
<td>800-782-2344 x1438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ATTENDANCE SHEET

**Public Scoping Meeting**  
Connecticut Environmental Policy Act (CEPA)  
Environmental Impact Evaluation (EIE)  
University of Connecticut  
Burton Family Football Complex and Intramural, Recreational and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility  

January 27, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>TELEPHONE/EMAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Richard W. Shettle | 28 Copley Rd. 06095  
S. Glastonbury CT | 659-8046                  |
| Greg Shettle  | 201 Northrup Dr.  
Glastonbury, CT 06033 | 635-6509                  |
| DICK PATTERSON | 33 Mill St. #5H  
Wethersfield 06109 | 860-529-2101              |
| AL Kelland    | 282 Blue Hills Drive  
Southington, CT 06489 | 860-276-0646              |
| Irwin B Kurns | 34 Black Birch  
Wethersfield, CT | 860-721-0405  
I.KURNS@SNET.NET |
| Helen Koehn   | 83 Separatist Rd.  
Storrs CT 06268 | 860-429-4699              |
| Prima Braswell | 64 Separatist Rd.  
Storrs 06268 | 860-429-0648              |
| Gregory Padick | Mansfield Planning Office  
4 South Eaglemere Rd  
Mansfield CT 06268 | 860-429-3329              |
| Martin Berliner | 4 South Eaglemere Rd  
Mansfield CT 06268 | 860-429-3336  
Martin Berliner M@MansfielDCt.org |
| Harry M Johnson | 95 Bundy Lane  
Storrs, CT 06268 2238 | (860) 429-9869            |
Comment:

These facilities are very much needed to support the needs of the student body as well as the department of athletics. The growth of the student population has put a severe strain on existing athletic and recreation facilities. I hope these facilities can be built as soon as possible.

Please leave in Comment Folder
Or
Mail or Fax to:
Richard A. Miller, Esq.
Director of Environmental Policy
University of Connecticut
Gulley Hall
352 Mansfield Rd.
Storrs, CT 06269-3038
Telephone: (860) 486-8741
Facsimile: (860) 486-6379
E-mail: Rich.Miller@uconn.edu

Written comments will be accepted until February 5, 2004.
University of Connecticut

Burton Family Football Complex and
Intramural, Recreational and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility
Connecticut Environmental Policy Act (CEPA) Project Scoping
January 27, 2004 Public Scoping Meeting
Comment Form

Name: RICHARD G. PATTRESSON
Address: 33 MILL ST # 54 WETHERTON CT 06107
Other Contact Information (Email, telephone): 860 529-2101

Comment:
This facility is very needed and will be great for UConn.

Please leave in Comment Folder
Or
Mail or Fax to:
Richard A. Miller, Esq.
Director of Environmental Policy
University of Connecticut
Gulley Hall
352 Mansfield Rd.
Storrs, CT 06269-3038
Telephone: (860) 486-8741
Facsimile: (860) 486-6379
E-mail: Rich.Miller@uconn.edu

Written comments will be accepted until February 5, 2004.
University of Connecticut
Burton Family Football Complex and
Intramural, Recreational and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility
Connecticut Environmental Policy Act (CEPA) Project Scoping
January 27, 2004 Public Scoping Meeting
Comment Form

Name: DICK SULLIVAN
Address: 28 GREEN CT, CLAYTON, NY
Other Contact Information (Email, telephone): 698-8076

Comment:

This facility will add much to the present program and will enhance our opportunity to grow on the field and in the classroom.

Please leave in Comment Folder
Or
Mail or Fax to:
Richard A. Miller, Esq.
Director of Environmental Policy
University of Connecticut
Gulley Hall
352 Mansfield Rd.
Storrs, CT 06269-3038
Telephone: (860) 486-8741
Facsimile: (860) 486-6379
E-mail: Rich.Miller@uconn.edu

Written comments will be accepted until February 5, 2004.
Comment:
The football complex will greatly affect the ability of the football program to recruit and therefore enhance the success of the football program. With that success comes greater visibility and exposure for the University as a whole. Student applications and funding for the University will also benefit from the increased exposure.

Please leave in Comment Folder
Or
Mail or Fax to:
Richard A. Miller, Esq.
Director of Environmental Policy
University of Connecticut
Gulley Hall
352 Mansfield Rd.
Storrs, CT 06269-3038
Telephone: (860) 486-8741
Facsimile: (860) 486-6379
E-mail: Rich.Miller@uconn.edu

Written comments will be accepted until February 5, 2004.
STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

January 21, 2004

Mr. Richard A. Miller, Esq.
Director of Environmental Policy
University of Connecticut
Galley Hall
352 Mansfield Rd.
Storrs, CT. 06269-3038

Re: Notice of Scoping, University of Connecticut, Storrs Campus – Burton Family Football Complex
and Intramural, Recreational and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility Project Number: UC-2011188

Dear Mr. Miller:

The following comments are offered in response to your request concerning the Connecticut
Environmental Policy Act Notice of Scoping document for the above-mentioned project. After
reviewing this document, it was evident that there was no mention of any plan at this stage to address
asbestos or lead-based paint should these materials be encountered during future demolition or
renovation phases of the project. These types of construction activities could result in the disturbance
of surfaces that may contain lead-based paint and asbestos. A written plan that addresses both lead-
based paint and asbestos must be included.

The following summarizes the Department’s position with regard to lead and asbestos issues:

A. Lead-Based Paint

Many rehabilitation, renovation, or demolition activities that are associated with these types of projects
are not subject to the Department of Public Health (DPH), Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention and
Control (LPPC) Regulations (§519a-111-1 through 19a-111-11). However, if children under six (6)
years of age live in any residences that will be undergoing renovation and testing of paint reveals toxic
levels of lead, then compliance with applicable CT Department of Public Health regulations on lead
abatement must be achieved.

Additionally, there are other issues that must be addressed related to lead-based paint. Among these
are the following:

- A lead inspector or lead inspector/risk assessor certified by the DPH must perform any testing of
  paint on the existing structures.
Mr. Richard Miller, Esq.
Rec: Notice of Scoping, University of Connecticut, Storrs Campus – Burton Family Football Complex and Intramural, Recreational and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility Project Number: UC-201188
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- Planned rehabilitation, renovation or demolition activities should be performed using lead-safe work practices.

- Additionally, if lead-based paint or lead-containing paint is identified, workers must be trained (as a minimum) according to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) lead standard (29 CFR 1926.62). Because other contaminants may also be present on the site, additional health and safety training may be required (e.g. hazardous waste and/or asbestos).

Additional inquiries on the subject of lead-based paint can be directed to Alan Buzzetti, Supervising Environmental Sanitarian, Lead Environmental Management Unit at (860) 509-7299.

B. Asbestos

Any renovation or demolition of the existing facilities that are associated with this proposed project would be subject to the provisions of the asbestos National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (40 CFR Part 61). As such, the facilities would be required to be thoroughly inspected to determine the presence of asbestos prior to the commencement of the renovation/demolition activity. The asbestos inspection must be conducted by an inspector or a Management Planner licensed by the DPH. Asbestos abatement that involves more than three (3) linear feet or more than three (3) square feet of asbestos containing material must be performed by an asbestos abatement contractor licensed by the DPH. Asbestos abatement must be performed in accordance with all applicable federal, state and local regulations.

Please contact Ron Skomro, Supervising Environmental Sanitarian, Asbestos Program at (860) 509-7367 regarding any issues related to asbestos.

Sincerely,

Ellen Blaschinski, MBA, RS
Director
Division of Environmental Health

EB/ck

c: Jeffrey Smith, Office of Policy and Management

m: leadrmrk/dlasb47.doc
January 5, 2004

Mr. Richard A. Miller, Esq.
Director of Environmental Policy
University of Connecticut
Gulley Hall
352 Mansfield Road
Storrs, CT 06269-3038

Re: CEPA Notice of Scoping
   Burton Family Football Complex

Dear Mr. Miller,

The Department of Public Works has reviewed your Connecticut Environmental Policy Act (CEPA) Notice of Scoping, dated 1/6/04.

At this time, the Department has no comments regarding the proposed action.

If there are any questions, please contact Joseph Cassidy, DPW Supervisor – Technical Services at (860) 713-5705.

Sincerely,

[signature]
David O’Hearn
Deputy Commissioner

C: James T. Fleming, Commissioner
A. Herring
J. Cassidy
File
Mr. George T. Kraus, P.E.
Director of Design, Planning and
Construction Management
University of Connecticut
Division of Business and Administration
31 LeDoyt Road – Unit 3038
Storrs, CT 06269 - 3038

Dear Mr. Kraus:

Subject: Connecticut Environmental Policy Act (CEPA)
Notice of Scoping
Burton Family Football Complex and Intramural
Recreational and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility
Project No. UC-201188

Commissioner James F. Byrnes, Jr. has asked me to respond to your letter dated December 18, 2003 requesting review of the Notice of Scoping for the subject project.

The Connecticut Department of Transportation (ConnDOT) appreciates the opportunity to review the Notice of Scoping. ConnDOT advises that the environmental documentation for this project be prepared in accordance with CEPA regulations and that ConnDOT is afforded the opportunity to review and comment on the draft document.

Information and questions should be directed to Mr. Keith T. Hall, Transportation Supervising Planner, at the letterhead address, or at (860) 594-2926.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Edgar T. Hurle
Transportation Planning Director
Bureau of Policy and Planning
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

State Historic Preservation Office
Commission on Arts, Tourism, Culture, History and Film

December 23, 2003

Mr. George T. Kraus
Architectural and Engineering Services
University of Connecticut
31 LeDoyt Road, U-3038
Storrs, CT 06269-3038

Subject: Burton Family Football Complex
Intramural, Recreational and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility
University of Connecticut, Storrs
Project No. UC-201188

Dear Mr. Kraus:

The State Historic Preservation Office has reviewed the above-named project. This office expects that the proposed undertaking will have no effect on historic, architectural, or archaeological resources listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. This comment is conditional upon the professional implementation of the following mitigative measure:

- Prior to alteration and/or demolition, the University of Connecticut shall document Memorial Stadium to the professional standards of the State Historic Preservation Office. Documentation shall consist of narrative text, unmounted 35mm black and white photographs (or digital equivalents), an index to photographs, and a site photographic site plan. Final documentation shall be provided to the State Historic Preservation Office for permanent archiving and public accessibility.

This office appreciates the opportunity to have reviewed and commented upon the proposed undertaking.

We recommend that the responsible agency provide concerned citizens with the opportunity to review and comment upon the proposed undertaking in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 and the Connecticut Environmental Policy Act.

For further information please contact Dr. David A. Poirier, Staff Archaeologist.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

I. Paul Loether
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

59 SOUTH PROSPECT STREET HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06106-1901
Telephone: 860-566-3005 Facsimile: 860-566-5078

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER
April 22, 2004

Mr. Erik Mas
Fuss & O'Neill Inc.
78 Interstate Drive
West Springfield, MA 01098

Subject: Burton Family Football Complex and Indoor Facility
University of Connecticut
Mansfield, CT

Dear Mr. Mas:

The State Historic Preservation Office has reviewed supplemental information provided by Fuss & O'Neill Inc. regarding the above-named project. This office expects that the proposed undertaking will have no effect on historic, architectural, or archaeological resources listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. This comment is conditional upon our understanding that the revised design concept includes retention and use of Memorial Stadium.

This office appreciates the opportunity to have reviewed and commented upon the proposed undertaking.

We recommend that the responsible agency provide concerned citizens with the opportunity to review and comment upon the proposed undertaking in accordance with the Connecticut Environmental Policy Act.

This comment updates and supersedes all previous correspondence regarding the proposed project. For further information please contact Dr. David A. Poirier, Staff Archaeologist.

Sincerely,

J. Paul Loether
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer
CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBLE GROWTH

83 Separatist Road
Storrs, CT 06268
Phone: 860-429-4699
Fax: 860-429-3479
hkoehn@yahoo.com

February 5, 2004

Richard A. Miller
Director of Environmental Policy
University of Connecticut, Gulley Hall
352 Mansfield Rd.
Storrs/Mansfield, CT 06269-3038

Dear Mr. Miller:

I am submitting comments about the proposed UConn football complex and intramural, recreational and intercollegiate athletic facility.

The purpose of the Connecticut Environmental Policy Act, often referred to as "CEPA", is to identify and evaluate the impacts of proposed State actions that could have the potential to significantly affect the environment.

Filled wetlands flood our roads and impact the environment. The whole area of the proposed site for the 165,000 square feet of pavement or building is on an area that was once a large functioning wetland.

The area for development that was discussed at the public scoping hearing is clearly delineated as wetlands on the Soil Survey of Tolland County, United States Department of Agriculture maps, issued in 1966, and on U.S.G. S maps for the South Coventry Quadrangle, 1970. Although it appears that some of the area under consideration has been filled and disturbed, it is unclear how deeply these soils are disturbed and whether, in fact, the area under consideration continues to still function as a wetland.

As part of the EIE evaluation, an investigation into the depth and breadth of the disturbed wetlands and their soils should be conducted for each proposed alternative. The investigation should be conducted with the latest scientific tools and should include a review and consultation with specialists who have experience in ascertaining the extent of impact of disturbed soils on large areas of wetlands. The history of these wetlands and documents—including previous engineering plans, reports, Department of Environmental Protection applications and permits for permission to degrade the wetlands—should be cited and included in the evaluation.

In 1972 Connecticut passed the Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Act to significantly reduce the loss and alteration of inland wetlands, streams, and other surface waterbodies. The Act established a statewide regulatory program, which placed the regulation of state agencies under the DEP; therefore the evaluation should include previous DEP permits for this area.
The Environmental Impact Evaluation should include a study of the soils beneath the area slated for development to ascertain if wetlands soils are still present and in what configurations. The EIE must evaluate if wetlands soils persist below the disturbed soils. The Soil Survey of Tolland County, United States Department of Agriculture maps shows various wetland soils. If the soils persist, then this wetland was not wasteland. To state this simply and in the words of an ordinary citizen, if you dig down, will you find evidence of wetland soils?

*Planning for Connecticut's Future*, OPM, 2001 states that “a primary objective must be to protect high quality raw water for drinking supply purposes. Sources cannot be endlessly degraded without impact to the costs and effectiveness of treatment. Therefore source protection and pollution prevention must be a priority for the overall protection of drinking water supply sources.” The cumulative effect of the construction of other UConn buildings and pavements and the increased demand for withdrawals must be thoroughly reviewed. Pageville Brook is an example of a water course that is accepting the runoff from the proposed construction site as well as from many other buildings and pavement. Wetlands also act as a buffer for flooding, and the Friends Meeting House and other Mansfield buildings have flooded several times recently.

Citizens for Responsible Growth supports the questions raised by the Mansfield Planning and Zoning Commission. In particular, “the environmental review should thoroughly evaluate potential onsite and offsite impacts on surface and ground water quality and on nearby wetland and watercourse areas. The proposal will significantly increase the amount of impervious surfaces, and offsite drainage issues have arisen in the subject watersheds.” The evaluation should include the impacts of the loss of these wetlands, if they are, indeed, no longer functioning.

Another question: what percentage of the square footage of the proposed construction will be used exclusively by football athletes and what percentage will be available to the general university student and faculty population? This question was not clearly answered at the public scoping meeting. The evaluation should include an analysis about how spending this 40 million dollars meets the specifications of the UConn 2000 and UConn 21st Century goals set forth by the Connecticut legislature.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Helen Koehn
Chairperson
Citizens for Responsible Growth
The Department of Environmental Protection has received the Notice of Scoping announcing preparation of an Environmental Impact Evaluation for the proposed Burton Family Football Complex & Intercollegiate Athletic Facility at the Storrs campus. The following commentary is submitted for your consideration during preparation of the document.

The proposed project is not within the 100-year flood zone on the community's Flood Insurance Rate Map. However, because it is a State action, the project will require stormwater management certification pursuant to section 25-68d of the Connecticut General Statutes (CGS), regardless of the project's location in relation to the floodplain. Prior to construction, the University must certify that the activity complies with the stormwater management standards specified in section 25-68h-3 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies. The project will require certification approval due to the additional impervious surface to be constructed and the concerns regarding increased runoff in its drainage basin as discussed below. For further information concerning the applicability of this requirement and details concerning the certification process, contact the Inland Water Resources Division at (860) 424-3706.

The Natural Resources Conservation Service has completed an erosion control project along the banks of a tributary to Eagleville Brook which flows from Separatist Road to Hillysdale Road, that is apparently too small to appear on the USGS topographic map. The erosion problem was caused by increased runoff due to development in the watershed. Since the project areas drain to this watercourse, the provision of detention for the proposed development is critical.

Although the proposed sites for both the athletic facilities and the relocated tennis courts are within this watershed, the buildings are proposed at the site of tennis courts, so apparently they would not dramatically increase impervious surface. However, relocation of the courts to a presently undeveloped site would presumably result in an overall increase in impervious surface. The CEPA document should thoroughly evaluate this matter and propose detention so that peak flows leaving the sites are not increased from existing conditions for all storms up to and including the 100-year event. Based on the engineering report, UConn Campus-wide Stormwater Management Study by Lenard Engineering, the sites appear to be in a different
perform a site reconnaissance in order to confirm that there are not any areas which would be regulated as wetlands or watercourses pursuant to the CGS.

Groundwater at the site is class GA in Connecticut’s Water Quality Standards, denoting an area with existing private water supply wells or an area with the potential to provide water to public or private water supply wells where the Department presumes that groundwater is suitable for drinking uses without treatment.

To the northwest of the project area, the areas of contribution for 4 public water supply wells for the Orchard Acre Apartments are rated Class GAA. The document should discuss the distance to these wells, the direction of groundwater flow from the project sites, any potential impacts of the project and appropriate mitigation, if required. The project site is not within the public water supply watershed of the Willimantic Reservoir. The drainage divide lies approximately 400’ northeast of the proposed location of the relocated tennis courts.

Given the concerns regarding potential impacts of increased water usage at the Storrs campus, the Department recommends that the University commit to water conservation measures in the design and construction of the athletic facilities. Such measures should be discussed in the EIE.

The Natural Diversity Data Base, maintained by DEP, contains no records of extant populations of Federally listed endangered or threatened species or species listed by the State, pursuant to section 26-306 of the CGS, as endangered, threatened or special concern in the project area. This information is not necessarily the result of comprehensive or site-specific field investigations. Consultation with the Natural Diversity Data Base should not be substituted for on-site surveys required for environmental assessments. The extent of investigation by competent biologist(s) of the flora and fauna found at the site would depend on the nature of the existing habitat(s). If field investigations reveal any Federal or State listed species, please contact the Environmental & Geographic Information Center at (860) 424-3540.

In developing a landscaping plan for this project, the Department recommends that only native species or non-invasive ornamental species be used. A list of *Non-Native Invasive and Potentially Invasive Vascular Plants in Connecticut* has been developed by the University of Connecticut, Center for Conservation and Biodiversity. Invasive plants are non-native or exotic plants that were introduced by human activity and quickly established. Many non-native plants are well known agricultural or horticultural species. Most of these do not escape cultivation or have minimal impacts on natural communities if they do spread. Invasive species rapidly disperse and establish, displacing native plants and altering ecological processes like fire occurrence and nutrient cycling. Due to their rapid growth, efficient means of seed dispersal, and tolerance of a wide range of environmental conditions, invasive plants outcompete with native species for sunlight, nutrients, and space. Species on this list should not be utilized in landscaping. Additional information regarding invasive species or copies of the list may be obtained online at [http://www.hort.uconn.edu/cipwg](http://www.hort.uconn.edu/cipwg) or by contacting the Environmental & Geographic Information Center at (860) 424-3540.
subdrainage basin than the existing detention basin constructed for the Hilltop Apartments. Flow from the project sites should not be routed to this detention basin.

A plan for stormwater management to mitigate potential impacts, considering both quantity and quality of runoff, should be developed. The stormwater management plan should be described, at least on a conceptual level, in the CEPA document.

Traditional stormwater systems collect stormwater as rapidly as possible and quickly shunt it from upland areas to receiving waterbodies. This has resulted in widespread and significant pollution problems from the materials picked up by the stormwater as it flows over developed land surfaces (non-point source pollution). The latest emphasis in stormwater management is to try to minimize changes between pre- and post-development runoff rates and volumes by utilizing on-site detention/retention and to pretreat discharges to remove total suspended solids, oils, greases, nutrients, pathogens and floatable debris.

In order to fully comply with the Department’s policies regarding protection of water quality, the plan should incorporate our standard recommendations regarding stormwater collection and treatment as a requirement for any proposed new or reconstructed storm drainage facilities to be installed as part of the project. The recommendation follows.

Appropriate controls, designed to remove sediment and oil or grease typically found in runoff from parking and driving areas, should be included in any stormwater collection system to be installed at the site. Non-structural measures to dissipate and treat runoff are encouraged, including infiltration using pervious paving, sheetflow from uncurbed pavement and vegetated swales. If a stormwater collection system is installed, potential controls include gross particle separators, deep sump catch basins with oil-grease traps and/or detention/retention basins. The Department typically recommends that any catch basins installed in conjunction with roadway or parking lot paving should have deep sumps to trap sediments and hoods to trap oil and grease. If more than 1 acre of pavement drains to a common discharge point, a gross particle separator should also be installed. Advanced designs for gross particle separators have been developed, such as Vortechics, Downstream Defender and Stormceptor, that the Department believes are very effective in retaining medium to coarse grained sediments as well as floatables. The last type of separator is designed to treat runoff from areas up to approximately 1 acre in size, while the former two can be sized to accommodate flow from larger areas. It is recommended that the appropriate variety of this or similar type of unit with a cyclonic design be installed in conjunction with each outfall, depending on the size of the drainage area. Provisions should be made for the periodic maintenance that will be required to insure continued effectiveness of these control measures. For further information regarding the design of stormwater collection systems, contact Chris Stone of the Permitting Enforcement & Remediation Division at (860) 424-3850.

The Natural Resources Conservation Service’s Soil Survey of Tolland County does not depict any wetland soils at the site. However, it is recommended that a certified soil scientist
In order to reduce the impact to air quality from mobile source emissions, the Department typically encourages developers to provide accommodations for alternative modes of transportation, such as mass transit and bicycles. It is assumed that the housing sites will be added to the University’s existing campus shuttle bus routes. Options to facilitate convenient bus service could include providing "pull-out" lanes to safely load and unload passengers outside of the main travel lane and providing a central location for transit facilities such as shelters. To accommodate bicyclists, the proposed developments can include bike storage facilities, bike paths (that may connect to a larger network) or wide shoulders on roadways for added bicycle safety.

To minimize impacts to air quality during construction, DEP recommends using "best management practices." These measures may include, but not be limited to, the following:

- Minimization of exposed erodible earth area to the extent possible.
- Stabilization of exposed earth with grass, pavement, or other cover as early as possible.
- Application of stabilizing agent (i.e., calcium chloride, water) to the work areas and haul roads.
- Covering, shielding, or stabilizing stockpiled material as necessary.
- Use of covered haul trucks.
- To minimize drag out, the incidental transport of soil by construction equipment from unpaved to paved surfaces, rinsing of construction equipment with water or any other equivalent method.
- Use of construction equipment with air pollution control devices. Equipment, such as diesel oxidation catalysts or particulate filters, or the use of natural gas equipment, can be effective in reducing exhaust emissions.

The disposal of demolition waste should be handled in accordance with applicable solid waste statutes and regulations. Clean fill is defined in section 22a-209-1 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (RCSA) and includes only natural soil, rock, brick, ceramics, concrete and asphalt paving fragments. Clean fill can be used on site or at appropriate off-site locations. Clean fill does not include uncured asphalt, demolition waste containing other than brick or rubble, contaminated demolition wastes (e.g. contaminated with oil or lead paint), tree stumps, or any kind of contaminated soils. Landclearing debris and waste other than clean fill resulting from demolition activities is considered bulky waste, also defined in section 22a-209-1 of the RCSA. Bulky waste is classified as special waste and must be disposed of at a permitted landfill or other solid waste processing facility pursuant to section 22a-208c of the Connecticut General Statutes and section 22a-209-2 of the RCSA. For further information concerning disposal of demolition debris, contact the solid waste staff of the Waste Engineering & Enforcement Division at (860) 424-3366.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this project. If there are any questions regarding these comments, please contact me.

c: Jeff Smith, OPM
   Arthur J. Rocque, Jr., DEP/COMM
   Sharon Yurasevecz, DEP/TWRD
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION  
TOWN OF MANSFIELD  
AUDREY P. BECK BUILDING  
FOUR SOUTH EAGLEVILLE ROAD  
STORRS, CONNECTICUT 06268  
(860) 429-3310  
February 3, 2004

Richard A. Miller, Esq., Director of Environmental Policy  
University of Connecticut, Gulley Hall  
352 Mansfield Rd.  
Storrs/Mansfield, CT 06269-3038

Re: Scoping comments, UConn football complex and intramural, recreational and intercollegiate athletic facility, Project #UC-201188

Dear Mr. Miller:

Thank you for providing the Town of Mansfield an opportunity to participate in the Connecticut Environmental Policies’ Act Notice of Scoping process. At its February 2, 2004 meeting, Mansfield’s Planning and Zoning Commission approved the submittal of the following comments regarding the proposed athletic facility on Stadium Road.

1. The environmental review process should provide sufficient opportunities for public comment on the proposed project. There are private residences on Hillside Circle and Westwood, South Eagleville and Separatist Roads that may be impacted by the subject project, and neighboring property-owners should be notified prior to the Public Hearing on the subject Environmental Impact Evaluation.

2. Mansfield officials and residents previously have expressed concern about the lack of opportunity to review finalized plans prior to the initiation of construction. Past problems that have arisen regarding UConn construction projects might have been avoided or lessened if an opportunity had been provided to review finalized plans and confirm that commitments and mitigation measures recommended in an Environmental Impact Evaluation had been appropriately incorporated and addressed in construction plans. Provisions to address this issue should be incorporated into the environmental review and any approval of the proposed projects.

3. The environmental review should thoroughly evaluate potential onsite and offsite impacts on surface and ground water quality and on nearby wetland and watercourse areas. The proposal will significantly increase the amount of impervious surfaces, and offsite drainage issues have arisen in the subject watersheds. The environmental review should address potential drainage impacts from varying-intensity storms ranging from 1-year to beyond 100-year events. A comprehensive storm water management plan including the use of retention or detention structures, oil/water separators and sediment and erosion controls must be developed for the entire project area, including downstream areas. Details of this comprehensive plan must be incorporated into individual final site plans and opportunities for public review should be provided prior to approval and construction. Long-term maintenance responsibilities for drainage and storm water management must be addressed.

4. The proposed project, particularly the tennis and volleyball court relocation, is situated near existing single-family homes. Potential neighborhood impacts including noise, lighting and property value impacts must be addressed comprehensively. If the site is deemed environmentally appropriate, all buildings, parking areas and other site work should be oriented towards the campus and buffered from single-family neighborhoods. All lighting should be downward-directed, with and the minimum number and intensity of lights necessary to address safety and security needs.

(continued)
7. The proposed facility will be served by UConn's water and sewage disposal systems. Specific water demands and waste disposal requirements should be addressed in the environmental review.

8. As proposed, the indoor field structure would be one hundred feet in height. The steep slope on the easterly side of the proposed building site will help mitigate visual impact. An existing tree buffer along Stadium Road west of the proposed site should be retained to further scale down the building and enhance visual compatibility with the existing landscape.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment. Mansfield officials are available to assist the University and its consultants with the environmental review process. If you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact Mr. Gregory J. Pedick, Mansfield Town Planner, at 429-3330.

Very truly yours,

Rudy J. Favretti, Chairman
Mansfield Planning and Zoning Commission

cc: Mansfield Town Council
    Mansfield Planning & Zoning Commission
    J. Petersen, Chancellor, Univ. of CT
    T. Callahan, Assoc. Vice-Pres., Univ. of CT
    J. Butts, Planner, WINCOG
    J. Smith, CT Office of Policy & Management
    Mansfield Conservation Commission
University of Connecticut

Burton Family Football Complex and Intramural, Recreational and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility
Connecticut Environmental Policy Act (CEPA) Project Scoping January 27, 2004 Public Scoping Meeting
Comment Form

Name: Irwin B. Knus
Address: 34 Black Birch, Wethersfield, CT 06109
Other Contact Information (Email, telephone): (860) 721-0405

Comment:

I was pleased to learn first hand at the Public Scoping meeting of the exciting plans for the construction of the Burton Family Football Complex and Intercollegiate Athletic Facility.

The planning behind the location and design of this facility will provide an added complement to the overall landscape of the UCONN campus.

I fully support this project and know it will provide benefits to both the academic and athletic growth of our State University.

Please leave in Comment Folder
Or
Mail or Fax to:
Richard A. Miller, Esq.
Director of Environmental Policy
University of Connecticut
Dulley Hall
352 Mansfield Rd.
Storrs, CT 06269-3038
Telephone: (860) 486-8741
Facsimile: (860) 486-6379
E-mail: Rich.Miller@uconn.edu

Written comments will be accepted until February 5, 2004.